

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

JEREMY WILLIAMS,

Plaintiff

v.

BENJAMIN ESTILL,

Defendant

Case No.: 2:16-cv-0911-APG-GWF

**Order Denying Motions to Reopen Case  
and Motion for Documents**

[ECF Nos. 41, 44, 46]

Plaintiff Jeremy Williams moved to voluntarily dismiss this case in April 2017, which I granted. ECF Nos. 38, 40. Over two years later, Williams moved to reopen the case. ECF Nos. 41, 44. “[A] suit dismissed without prejudice pursuant to Rule 41(a)(2) leaves the situation the same as if the suit had never been brought in the first place.” *Humphreys v. United States*, 272 F.2d 411, 412 (9th Cir. 1959). Thus, in one sense, there is nothing to reopen. More importantly, Williams offers no good reason to reopen this case, rather than filing a new lawsuit. Because the case was dismissed without prejudice, Williams is free to file a new lawsuit under a new case number.

The plaintiff’s motions to reopen this case (ECF Nos. 41, 44) are **DENIED**. The plaintiff’s motion for documents (ECF No. 46) is **DENIED as moot**.

DATED this 3rd day of January, 2020.



ANDREW P. GORDON  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE